RELIABILITY OF OPTOELECTRONIC ELEMENTS. CIRCUIT SOLUTIONS FOR ITS IMPROVEMENT
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Reliability of optoelectronic elements (OEE) depends on a number of factors – temperature, vibrations, LED current. The failure mechanism of optoelectronic elements is determined by an exposure law as it is with other elements.
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1. **FAILURE FREQUENCY**

\[ f(t) = \lambda . e^{-\lambda t}, \quad \text{h}^{-1}, \quad (1) \]

where: \( \lambda \) – failure intensity; \( t \) – operating time; \( h \) – hours.

Failure-free operation probability

\[ P(t) = e^{-\lambda t}, \quad \text{dimensionless quantity} \quad (2) \]

Lifetime

\[ t = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \text{h} \quad (3) \]

The total failure intensity, for example for one optocoupler, when failures take place independently

\[ \lambda_\Sigma = \lambda_{LED} + \lambda_{ph} + \lambda_c \quad (4) \]

where: \( \lambda_{LED} \) – intensity of LED failures;

\( \lambda_{ph} \) – intensity of photodetector failures;

\( \lambda_c \) – intensity of case failures.

The failure-free operation probability can be increased by using a structural excess (redundancy) – two parallel optocoupler channels.

\[ P = 1 - (1 - P)^n \quad (5) \]

When \( P=0.9 \) and \( n=2 \)

\[ P = 1 - (1 - 0.9)^2 = 0.99 \]

Failure classification in the different optoelectronic elements:

- LEDs and laser diodes – degradation and disconnected pins;
- photodetectors – high dark current, disconnected pins;
- optocouplers – decrease of current transmission coefficient.

2. **PULSE OPERATION MODE OF LEDS**

Pulse LED dissipation is:

\[ P_{fl} = U_{fl} . I_{fl} = 1.5 . 1 = 1.5W \quad (6) \]
When $I_{F1} = 1$A; $U_{F1} = 1.5$V
The average dissipation is:

$$P_{Fmid} = \frac{t_f}{T}U_{F1}I_{F1} = \frac{10}{1000} \cdot 1.5 \cdot 1 = 15mW$$

(7)

When $T_i = 10\mu$s; $T = 1000\mu$s; $t_i/T = 100$

The temperature at which LEDs will be warmed up is:

$$t_{LED} = t_{en} + P_{Fmid} \cdot R_{th} = 25 + 15 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot 500 = 32.5^\circ C$$

(8)

$t_{en}$ – ambient temperature - 25°C;
$R_{th}$ – LED thermal resistance - 500°C/W, at continuous radiation

$$P = U_FI_F = 1.2 \cdot 12 \cdot 10^{-3} = 14.4mW$$

(9)

When $U_F = 1.2$V, $I_F = 12 \cdot 10^{-3}$A.

3. LED DEGRADATION

LEDs operate at high current density – up to 50 KA/cm$^2$. Degradation depends on LED current, operating time and temperature. Degradation leads to reducing LED radiation power – fig.1. Curve 1 indicates gradual degradation. Curve 2 consists of two parts, quick degradation up to 168 h. And slow degradation.

Fig. 2 shows that with heteroLEDs the degradation is slower than with single-PN-junction LEDs.

Fig. 3 shows the LED VAX shift after operating 0 h.

LED degradation results in reducing the current transmission coefficient of optocouplers in the course of time – fig.4.

LED degradation is due to:
- manufacturing defects;
- influence of ambient factors (temperature, current).

For the time being there are only hypotheses describing degradation mechanisms. The hypotheses of Longini, Gold-Weisberg, etc. are well known. All hypotheses reduce the process to the appearance of defects in the centre of the forbidden band. Oggi’s hypothesis explains degradation by increasing the non-radiation recombination. Arenius describes the LED medial resource (50%).

\[ t_{50\%} = A e^{\frac{E_a}{kT}} \]  

A – constant  
Ea – activity energy  
K – Boltzmann’s constant, k=8.63.10^{-5} eV/K  
T – absolute temperature, °K

General Electric Company proposes that degradation should be described according to three factors – time, temperature and LED current.

\[ t_x = 10^{\left[ \frac{\log \left( \frac{I_t}{I_0} \right) \cdot \Delta A_2 - \log 50}{\Delta A_1 + \log 50} \right]} \]  

tx – operating time, h  
t0 – accelerated test time– 168h  
\( \Delta A_{T1} \) – temperature accelerations under stress conditions  
\( \Delta A_{T2} \) – temperature accelerations under working conditions  
\[ \frac{\Delta A_{T1}}{\Delta A_{T2}} \] - coefficient depending on the slope of the curves’ decade depending on temperature.

For example, at 100°C up to 55°C – 2.83/1.75=1.62  
\( A_1 \) – current relationship for LED current 100mA/10mA – 2.5

\[ t_x = 10^{\left[ \frac{\log 168}{50} \cdot (1.62)(2.5) + \log 50 \right]} = 6770h \]

We are proposing the following solutions as regards circuit design, insensitive to LED degradation:

1. Operation of LEDs at low temperature  
2. Cooling of LEDs  
3. Operation with a low LED current and a low mark-to-space ratio.  
4. Usage of optocouplers with a high CTR (K_i)  
5. Output high-Ohm load  
6. Usage of negative optical feedback  
7. Operation with the LED current up to 50% of the catalogue value  
8. Initial thermo-current training of LEDs and accelerated tests  
9. Usage of heteroLEDs

4. **Criteria for Optocoupler Lifetime**

- Photodetector dark current  
- Current transmission coefficient K_i
The relative change of the current transmission coefficient is:

\[
\frac{\Delta K_f}{\Delta K_{\text{start}}} = \frac{\Delta \eta}{\Delta \eta_{\text{start}}} \left[ 1 + \left( 1 + \frac{\eta_{\text{start}}}{\Delta \eta} \right) \frac{\Delta B}{B_{\text{start}}} \right]
\]  

(12)

където: \( \eta \) – LED efficiency;
\( \eta_{\text{start}} \) – initial LED efficiency;
\( \Delta \eta_{\text{start}} \) - relative change of LED efficiency for a given time interval;
\( B \) – amplification factor of the output amplifier;
\( B_{\text{start}} \) – initial amplification factor of the output amplifier;
\( \Delta B \) - relative change of the amplifier amplification by LED degradation leading to the decrease of the photodetector photocurrent.

\[ \Delta B = B_{\text{end}} (I_{\text{phend}}) - B_{\text{start}} (I_{\text{phstart}}) \]  

(13)

\[ K_f = \frac{I_{\text{ph}}}{I_F} \]  

(14)

\( I_F \) – LED current;
\( I_{\text{ph}} \) – photocurrent

Photodiode optocouplers are taken as an example:

Let \( I_F = 10 \text{mA} \) and \( \eta \) goes down by 15 % to 10000h, that is \( \Delta \eta/\eta=0.15 \). From the photodiode transfer characteristic \( I_{\text{ph}}=f(I_F) \). Let \( I_{\text{ph}0}=20\mu\text{A} \) and \( B_0(I_{\text{ph}0})=100 \)

\[ I_{\text{phend}} = \frac{I_{\text{phstart}}}{1 + \frac{\Delta \eta}{\eta}} = \frac{20.10^{-6}}{1 + 0.15} = 17.39\mu\text{A} \]  

(15)

Let \( B_{\text{end}} = 99 \)
\[ \Delta B = B_{\text{start}} - B_{\text{end}} = 100 - 99 = 1 \]
\[ \frac{\Delta B}{B} = \frac{1}{100} = 0.01 \]  then from (12)

\[ \frac{\Delta K_f}{K_f} = 0.15 \left[ 1 + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{0.15} \right) \frac{1}{100} \right] = 0.16 \]

5. CIRCUIT SOLUTIONS FOR REDUCING DEGRADATION

Compensation in the LED circuit – fig. 5
Compensation in the phototransistor circuit – fig. 6
Circuit with double compensation – in the LED circuit and in the phototransistor circuit – fig.7.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Analytical and graphic factors influencing the reliability of the optoelectronic elements have been described. Solutions and circuits for reducing the influence of degradation on optoelectronic elements have been proposed.
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